What is claimed is:

I	1. A method comprising the steps of:
2	receiving an email message having a word;
3	generating a phonetic equivalent of the word;
4	tokenizing the phonetic equivalent of the word to generate a token representative
5	of the phonetic equivalent; and
6	determining a spam probability from the generated token.
1	2. The method of claim 1, wherein the step of generating the phonetic
2	equivalent of the word comprises the steps of:
3	identifying a string of characters, the string of characters including a non-
4	alphabetic characters; and
5	removing the non-alphabetic character from the string of characters

```
3.
 1
                      The method of claim 2, wherein the step of removing the non-alphabetic
 2
      character comprises the step of:
 3
              locating a non-alphabetic character within the string of characters, the non-
 4
      alphabetic character being at least one selected from the group consisting of:
              " (quote);
 5
 6
              '(single quote);
 7
              ! (exclamation mark);
 8
              @ (at);
 9
              # (pound);
10
              $ (dollar);
11
              % (percent);
12
              ^ (caret);
13
              & (ampersand);
14
              * (asterisk);
15
              ( (open parenthesis);
16
              ) (close parenthesis);
17
              _ (underscore);
18
              - (hyphen);
19
             + (plus);
20
             = (equal);
21
             \ (backslash);
22
             /(slash);
             ? (question mark);
23
24
              (space);
25
                     (tab);
```

```
26
             [ (open square bracket);
             ] (close square bracket);
27
             { (open bracket);
28
29
             } (close bracket);
30
             < (less than);
             > (greater than);
31
32
             , (comma);
33
             : (colon);
34
             ; (semi-colon);
35
             and . (period).
 1
             4.
                     The method of claim 1, wherein the step of determining the spam
      probability comprises the steps of:
 2
 3
             assigning a spam probability value to the token; and
 4
             generating a Bayesian probability value using the spam probability value assigned
 5
      to the token.
 1
             5.
                     The method of claim 4, wherein the step of determining the spam
 2
      probability further comprises the step of:
 3
             comparing the generated Bayesian probability value with a predefined threshold
 4
      value.
```

1	6. The method of claim 5, wherein the step of determining the spam
2	probability further comprises the step of:
3	categorizing the email message as spam in response to the Bayesian probability
4	value being greater than the predefined threshold.
1	7. The method of claim 5, wherein the step of determining the spam
2	probability further comprises the step of:
3	categorizing the email message as non-spam in response to the Bayesian
4	probability value being not greater than the predefined threshold.
1	8. A system comprising:
2	means for receiving an email message having a word;
3	means for generating a phonetic equivalent of the word;
4	means for tokenizing the phonetic equivalent of the word to generate a token
5	representative of the phonetic equivalent; and
6	means for determining a spam probability from the generated token.
1	9. A system comprising:
2	receive logic configured to receive an email message having a word;
3	phonetic logic configured to generate a phonetic equivalent of the word;
4	tokenize logic configured to tokenize the phonetic equivalent of the word to
5	generate a token representative of the phonetic equivalent; and
6	spam-determination logic configured to determine a spam probability from the
7	generated token.

1	10. The system of claim 9, further comprising:
2	string-identification logic configured to identify a string of characters, the string of
3	characters including a non-alphabetic characters; and
4	character-removal logic configured to remove the non-alphabetic character from
5	the string of characters.
1	11. The system of claim 10, further comprising:
2	spam-probability logic configured to assign a spam probability value to the token;
3	and
4	Bayesian logic configured to generate a Bayesian probability value using the sparr
5	probability value assigned to the token.
1	12. The system of claim 11, further comprising:
2	compare logic configured to compare the generated Bayesian probability value
3	with a predefined threshold value.
1	13. The system of claim 12, further comprising:
2	spam-categorization logic configured to categorize the email message as spam in
3	response to the Bayesian probability value being greater than the predefined threshold.
1	14. The system of claim 12, further comprising:
2	spam-categorization logic configured to categorize the email message as non-
3	spam in response to the Bayesian probability value being not greater than the predefined
4	threshold.

1	15. A computer-readable medium comprising:
2	computer-readable code adapted to instruct a programmable device to receive an
3	email message having a word;
4	computer-readable code adapted to instruct a programmable device to generate a
5	phonetic equivalent of the word;
6	computer-readable code adapted to instruct a programmable device to tokenize the
7	phonetic equivalent of the word to generate a token representative of the phonetic
8	equivalent; and
9	computer-readable code adapted to instruct a programmable device to determine a
10	spam probability from the generated token.
1	16. The computer-readable medium of claim 15, further comprising:
2	computer-readable code adapted to instruct a programmable device to identify a
3	string of characters, the string of characters including a non-alphabetic characters; and
4	computer-readable code adapted to instruct a programmable device to remove the
5	non-alphabetic character from the string of characters.
1	17. The computer-readable medium of claim 15, further comprising:
2	computer-readable code adapted to instruct a programmable device to assign a
3	spam probability value to the token; and
4	computer-readable code adapted to instruct a programmable device to generate a
5	Bayesian probability value using the spam probability value assigned to the token.

1	18. The computer-readable medium of claim 17, further comprising:
2	computer-readable code adapted to instruct a programmable device to compare the
3	generated Bayesian probability value with a predefined threshold value.
1	19. The computer-readable medium of claim 18, further comprising:
2	computer-readable code adapted to instruct a programmable device to categorize
3	the email message as spam in response to the Bayesian probability value being greater
4	than the predefined threshold.
1	20. The computer-readable medium of claim 18, further comprising:
2	computer-readable code adapted to instruct a programmable device to categorize
3	the email message as non-spam in response to the Bayesian probability value being not

greater than the predefined threshold.